IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE
ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J.CHELAMESWAR
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.APPA RAO

WP No. 15513 of 2006

Between :

T.Rupender Singh .. Petitioner

And

Superintendent of Police,
Police Headquarters,
Sangareddy, Medak District
and four others .. Respondents

Dated : 14th August, 2006

ORDER : (per JC,J)

Writ Petition is filed with the prayer as follows :

> "For the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit, the petitioner herein prays that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus or any other appropriate writ and consequently direct the respondents herein to take immediate further steps in tracing out the petitioner's minor daughter who is in custody of respondents 3 to 5 and to produce before this Honourable Court and grant such other relief as it deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case."

The petitioner's complaint in the present writ petition is that his daughter T.Ratna Bai, who according to the

petitioner is aged about 15 years was abducted by the respondent Nos. 3 to 5 between 3 PM and 5 PM on 18.4.2006. He therefore filed a complaint on 23.4.2006 before the 2nd respondent alleging that the respondents 1 and 2 are not taking any action on the complaint lodged by the petitioner, the present writ petition is filed.

On 28.7.2006, learned Advocate General sought time to file counter. Today when the matter is taken up, the alleged detenu T.Ratna Bai and the 3rd respondent who is alleged to have abducted the abovementioned Ratna Bai appeared in the Court. The girl was examined at 2.15 PM in the chambers of one of us in the presence of her parents and the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Advocate General.

The alleged detenue made a categoric statement that she is a major, the fact which requires evidence to be taken in view of the contradictory allegations made by the petitioner and the alleged detenue. She further made a categoric statement that she got married to 3rd respondent and the 3rd respondent is working in a private company in Mumbai and they have been living in Mumbai and having come to know about the present writ petition they came to appear before this Court.

In view of the categoric statement of the alleged detenue, we do not see any reason to proceed further with this Habeas Corpus petition. The writ petition is therefore dismissed.

JUSTICE J.CHELAMESWAR

knk

Dt :14.08.2006

JUSTICE D.APPA RAO